

Exhibit A

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

CORDIS CORPORATION,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. 97-550-SLR
)	
MEDTRONIC VASCULAR, INC.)	
BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION,)	
and SCIMED LIFE SYSTEMS, INC.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)		
BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION,)	
and SCIMED LIFE SYSTEMS, INC.)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. 98-19-SLR
)	
ETHICON, INC.,)	
CORDIS CORPORATION, and)	
JOHNSON & JOHNSON)	
INTERVENTIONAL SYSTEMS CO.)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)		

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

Pursuant to the Notice of Withdrawal of Claims Based on U.S. Patent No. 5,102,417, dated March 22, 2000 (D.I. 662), the jury verdict, dated December 11, 2000 (D.I. 182 (in C.A. 98-197-SLR)), the Court's Memorandum Opinion and Order, dated March 28, 2002 (D.I. 1127; D.I. 1128), the Court's Memorandum Order, dated May 15, 2002 (D.I. 1153), and the jury verdict, dated March 24, 2005 (D.I. 1366):

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is hereby entered in favor of Cordis Corporation, Ethicon Inc. and Johnson & Johnson Interventional Systems Co. (collectively "Cordis") and against Boston Scientific Corporation and Boston Scientific Scimed, Inc. (formerly Scimed Life Systems, Inc.) (collectively "BSC") with respect to BSC's infringement of claims 23 and 44 of United States Patent No. 4,739,762 (the "'762 patent");

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is hereby entered in favor of Cordis and against BSC with respect to the validity of claim 23 of the '762 patent;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is hereby entered in favor of BSC and against Cordis with respect to the infringement of claim 22 of United States Patent No. 5,902,332 (the "'332 patent");

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is hereby entered in favor of BSC and against Cordis with respect to the validity of claim 44 of the '762 and claim 22 of the '332 patent;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is hereby entered in favor of Cordis and against BSC with respect to the enforceability of the '762 and '332 patents;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is hereby entered in favor of plaintiff Cordis and against defendant BSC permanently enjoining Boston Scientific Corporation, SciMed Life Systems, Inc., and their officers, directors, employees, agents, successors, affiliates, and assigns, and all persons and entities acting in concert or participation with them, from infringing claim 23 of the '762 patent by making, using, selling, or offering for sale in the United States, or by importing into the United States, the NIR stent and any colorable variations thereof.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(d)(1) and D. Del. L.R. 54.1, BSC shall pay Cordis its costs incurred with respect to the '762 patent.

Dated: _____, 2005

United States District Judge

(By) Deputy Clerk